Stat 2605 Tutorial 6

November 15, 2022

1. Suppose (X,Y) has joint pmf given by:

f(x,y)			\mathbf{x}	
		1	2	3
	0	0.2	0.1	0.1
\mathbf{y}	1	0.1	0.3	0
	2	0	0.1	0.1

and zero otherwise. Find $\mathbf{E}(2X^2Y + 1)$.

By properties of expectation,

$$\mathbf{E}\left(2X^2Y+1\right) = 2\mathbf{E}\left(X^2Y\right) + 1$$

As such, we should start by finding $\mathbf{E}(X^2Y)$.

$$\mathbf{E}(X^{2}Y) = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X} \\ y \in \mathcal{Y}}} x^{2}y \cdot f(x,y)$$

(We can ignore all the terms where y = 0 and f(x, y) = 0 since $x^2y \cdot f(x, y)$ will be zero.)

$$= (1^{2} \cdot 1)(0.1) + (2^{2} \cdot 1)(0.3) + (2^{2} \cdot 2)(0.1) + (3^{2} \cdot 2)(0.1)$$

$$= 0.1 + 4(0.3) + 8(0.1) + 18(0.1)$$

$$= 3.9$$

Finally,

$$\mathbf{E}(2X^2Y + 1) = 2\mathbf{E}(X^2Y) + 1 = 2(3.9) + 1 = 8.8$$

2. Suppose (X, Y) has joint pmf given by:

f(x,y)			\mathbf{x}	
		2	3	4
	-1	0.1	0.2	0.05
\mathbf{y}	0	0.27	0.1	0.15
	1	0	0.03	0.1

and zero otherwise.

(a) Are X and Y independent?

We know that X and Y are independent if $f(x,y) = f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y) \quad \forall \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. As such, if there is even one such (x,y) where $f(x,y) \neq f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$, then we can conclude that X and Y are *not* independent.

We start by finding the marginal distributions. To find the marginal distribution of X, $f_X(x)$, we fix X = x and sum over all the values of y, for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0.1 + 0.27 + 0 & x = 2\\ 0.2 + 0.1 + 0.03 & x = 3\\ 0.05 + 0.15 + 0.1 & x = 4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0.37 & x = 2\\ 0.33 & x = 3\\ 0.3 & x = 4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that $f_X(x)$ is still a valid probability distribution since its probabilities sum to one.

We find the marginal distribution of Y, $f_Y(y)$, in a similar fashion. We fix Y = y and sum over all the values of x, for each $y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0.1 + 0.2 + 0.05 & y = -1\\ 0.27 + 0.1 + 0.15 & y = 0\\ 0 + 0.03 + 0.1 & y = 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0.35 & y = -1\\ 0.52 & y = 0\\ 0.13 & y = 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Again, we note that $f_Y(y)$ is still a valid probability distribution since its probabilities sum to one.

There are many pairs (x, y) that we can use to show that $f(x, y) \neq f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$. Let's take x = 2 and y = 0.

$$f(2,1) = 0$$

$$f_X(2) \cdot f_Y(1) = 0.37 \cdot 0.13 \neq 0$$

As such, X and Y are *not* independent.

(b) Show that $\mathbf{E}(XY) \neq \mathbf{E}(X)\mathbf{E}(Y)$.

$$\mathbf{E}(XY) = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X} \\ y \in \mathcal{Y}}} xy \cdot f(x, y)$$

(We can ignore all the terms where y=0 and f(x,y)=0 since $xy\cdot f(x,y)$ will be zero.)

$$= (2 \cdot (-1))(0.1) + (3 \cdot (-1))(0.2) + (4 \cdot (-1))(0.05)$$

$$+ (3 \cdot 1)(0.03) + (4 \cdot 1)(0.1)$$

$$= (-2)(0.1) + (-3)(0.2) + (-4)(0.05) + (3)(0.03) + (4)(0.1)$$

$$\mathbf{E}(X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \cdot f_X(x) = (2)(0.37) + (3)(0.33) + (4)(0.3) = 2.93$$

$$\mathbf{E}(Y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y \cdot f_Y(y) = (-1)(0.35) + (0)(0.52) + (1)(0.13) = -0.22$$

$$\mathbf{E}(X)\mathbf{E}(Y) = (2.93)(-0.22) = -0.6446 \neq \mathbf{E}(XY)$$

3. Suppose (X,Y) has joint pdf given by:

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x+y}{3} & 0 < x < 2, \quad 0 < y < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(a) Find the marginal pdfs $f_X(x)$ and $f_Y(y)$.

The marginal pdf of X is found by integrating the joint density over the support of Y (with respect to y).

$$f_X(x) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} f(x, y) \, dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{1} (x + y) \, dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left(xy + \frac{1}{2}y^2 \right) \Big|_{y=0}^{y=1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{x}{3} + \frac{1}{6}$$

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{3} + \frac{1}{6} & 0 < x < 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $f_X(x)$ is a function solely of x and its support only depends on x, as we have integrated y out. It should be noted that $f_X(x)$ remains a valid probability distribution. We can verify this by integrating $f_X(x)$ over its support and it should equal one.

The marginal pdf of Y is found by integrating the joint density over the support of X (with respect to x).

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x, y) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{2} (x+y) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} x^{2} + xy \right) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} (2+2y)$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} (y+1)$$

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}(y+1) & 0 < y < 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $f_Y(y)$ is a function solely of y and its support only depends on y, as we have integrated x out. It should be noted that $f_Y(y)$ remains a valid probability distribution. We can verify this by integrating $f_Y(y)$ over its support and it should equal one.

(b) Are X and Y independent?

By inspection, $f(x,y) \neq f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y) \quad \forall \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore X and Y are not independent.

(c) Find the conditional mean $\mathbf{E}(Y | X = 1)$.

We first note that the conditional expectation of Y given X = x is defined as:

$$\mathbf{E}(Y \mid X = x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \cdot f_{Y\mid X = x}(y\mid x) \, dy.$$

As such, we should start by finding $f_{Y|X=x}(y|x)$, which is obtained by using the conditional probability formula.

$$f_{Y|X=x}(y|x) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{3}(x+y)}{\frac{x}{3} + \frac{1}{6}},$$

for 0 < y < 1 (with an implicit assumption that 0 < x < 2 to avoid division by zero), and zero otherwise. Given that X = 1, we have

$$f_{Y|X=1}(y|1) = \frac{\frac{1}{3}(1+y)}{\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{6}} = \frac{2}{3}(1+y),$$

for 0 < y < 1, and zero otherwise.

$$\mathbf{E}(Y|X=1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \cdot f_{Y|X=1}(y|1) \, dy$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \int_{0}^{1} y(1+y) \, dy$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \int_{0}^{1} (y+y^{2}) \, dy$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} y^{2} + \frac{1}{3} y^{3} \right) \Big|_{y=0}^{y=1}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{5}{6}$$

$$= \frac{5}{6}$$

As usual, notice that since this was an expectation with respect to y, that there are no more ys in our final answer. In addition, since x = 1 was assumed and fixed, our final answer does not depend on x either.

4. Suppose that the distribution of the lifetime of a light bulb has an exponential distribution with a mean of 900 hours. Find the probability that the total lifetime of 20 bulbs exceeds 22000 hours.

Let L represent the lifetime of a light bulb. As given in the question, L will have an exponential distribution whose mean is 900 hours, i.e. $\mathbf{E}(L) = 900$. By the properties of the exponential distribution, we also have that $\mathbf{Var}(L) = (\mathbf{E}(L))^2 = 900^2$.

Let T represent the total lifetime of 20 light bulbs:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{20} L_i$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{E}(T) = \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{20} L_i\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{E}(L_1) + \mathbf{E}(L_2) + \dots + \mathbf{E}(L_{20})$$
(By properties of expectation operator)
$$= 20 \cdot \mathbf{E}(L)$$
(Each L_i is identically distributed)
$$= 20 \cdot 900$$

$$= 18000$$

$$\mathbf{Var}(T) = \mathbf{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{20} L_i\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{Var}(L_1) + \mathbf{Var}(L_2) + \dots + \mathbf{Var}(L_{20})$$
(Assume each L_i is independent)

=
$$20 \cdot \text{Var}(L)$$
 (Each L_i is identically distributed)
= $20 \cdot 900^2$

Assuming that a size of 20 is sufficiently large, we apply the central limit theorem (CLT) which says:

$$Z := \frac{T - \mu_T}{\sigma_T} = \frac{T - 18000}{\sqrt{20 \cdot 900^2}} \stackrel{\cdot}{\sim} N(0, 1).$$

$$\mathbf{P}(T > 22000) = \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{T - 18000}{\sqrt{20 \cdot 900^2}} > \frac{22000 - 18000}{\sqrt{20 \cdot 900^2}}\right)$$

$$\approx \mathbf{P}(Z > 0.9938)$$

$$= 1 - \mathbf{P}(Z \le 0.9938)$$

$$= 1 - \mathbf{\Phi}(0.9938)$$

$$= 1 - 0.8398$$

$$= 0.1602$$

The probability of the total lifetime of 20 light bulbs exceeding 22000 hours is approximately 0.1602.

5. Suppose the random variable, X, has a Geometric (p) distribution, with pmf given by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1-p)^{x-1}p & x = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Find the mgf, $M_X(t)$, and use it to find $\mathbf{E}(X)$.

$$M_X(t) = \mathbf{E} (e^{tX})$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} e^{tx} (1-p)^{x-1} p$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} e^{tx} (1-p)^{x-1} p$$

Let j := x - 1. Then x = j + 1.

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{t(j+1)} (1-p)^{j} p$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{tj} e^{t} (1-p)^{j} p$$

$$= pe^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{tj} (1-p)^{j}$$

$$= pe^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (e^{t} (1-p))^{j}$$

$$= \frac{pe^{t}}{1 - (1-p)e^{t}},$$

for

$$|e^{t}(1-p)| < 1$$

$$\iff e^{t}(1-p) < 1 \qquad \text{(Since both } e^{t} \text{ and } (1-p) \text{ are always non-negative)}$$

$$\iff e^{t} < \frac{1}{1-p}$$

$$\iff t < \log\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$$

(Alternatively, we can write $t < -\log(1-p)$.)

The first moment, $\mathbf{E}(X)$, is obtained by taking the first derivative of $M_X(t)$ and evaluating it at t=0.

$$M_X'(t) = \frac{pe^t}{(1 - (1 - p)e^t)^2}$$

$$M'_X(0) = \frac{p}{p^2} = \frac{1}{p} = \mathbf{E}(X)$$